

Know Your State's Abortion Laws

A Guide for Medical Professionals

Since *Roe v. Wade* was overturned in June 2022, medical providers across the country have struggled to understand their state's abortion laws, which contain undefined terms and non-medical language.

Fear and confusion throughout the medical community has led some hospitals to adopt policies that are overly strict or burdensome, causing patients to be denied care in emergencies. While the law remains in flux and some questions have no clear answers, this document aims to provide clarification, where possible, of what conduct is still permitted in your state. Know what your state's law does and does not require, so you can advocate for yourself and your patients.



Key Takeaways

Providing contraception, including emergency contraception, is legal.

Providing medical care for ectopic pregnancies and pregnancies with no cardiac activity is legal.

Providing information about how to obtain a legal abortion in another state is legal.

Abortion is prohibited under Texas law unless the patient has a "medical emergency," meaning the patient has a "life-threatening physical condition" placing the patient "at risk of death," or pregnancy poses a "serious risk of substantial impairment of a major bodily function."

Litigation seeking clarification of the exception is ongoing.



Definition of Abortion & Contraception

ABORTION

Texas law defines abortion to include only certain induced abortions, specifically: "Abortion' means the act of using or prescribing an instrument, a drug, a medicine, or any other substance, device, or means with the intent to cause the death of an unborn child of a woman known to be pregnant."

The following are explicitly excluded from Texas law's definition of abortion: (1) removing "an ectopic pregnancy," defined as "the implantation of a fertilized egg or embryo outside of the uterus"2; and (2) removing "a dead, unborn child whose death was caused by spontaneous abortion."3 While undefined, it is generally understood that in the context of Texas's definition of abortion, "dead" means that there is no cardiac activity present in the embryo or fetus.4 This means that treatment for ectopic pregnancy (including use of methotrexate and surgical removal) and treatment for miscarriage where there is no cardiac activity (including medications, D&C, D&E, labor induction) are not abortions under Texas law and are thus permitted in Texas.

Miscarriage care is legal, so long as there is no cardiac activity. With respect to self-managed abortion, it is legal for providers to give medical care during or after a self-managed abortion provided there is no cardiac activity, or if the patient is experiencing a complication that would qualify as a medical emergency (see below). There is no specific crime of self-managed abortion in Texas law, and Texas's criminal abortion bans explicitly exempt pregnant people from liability, so a pregnant person cannot be convicted of a violation of Texas's abortion bans for self-managing their abortion.

CONTRACEPTION

Contraception is not illegal in any state in the country. Texas's legal definition of abortion explicitly states that it "does not include birth control devices or oral contraceptives."⁵

Abortion Bans

Texas has three different abortion bans with penalties that are either criminal (prison time) and/or civil (loss of medical license and/or fines).

Trigger Ban: Texas's most restrictive abortion ban is the so-called "trigger ban" which took effect on August 25, 2022. This ban states that "[a] person may not knowingly perform, induce, or attempt an abortion," where abortion is defined using Texas's above definition.⁶ The penalties for violating the ban are: (1) criminal: a person can be charged with a first or second degree felony, which is punishable by imprisonment for life, or between 5-99 years for first degree offenses, or between 2 and 20 years for second degree offenses;7 (2) professional: the Texas Medical Board "shall revoke the license, permit, registration, certificate, or other authority of a physician or other health care professional who performs, induces, or attempts an abortion in violation" of the trigger ban;8 and (3) civil: the Attorney General "shall file an action to recover a civil penalty" of "not less than \$100,000 for each violation" of the trigger ban and may also recover attorney's fees and costs.9

Senate Bill 8: This law took effect in September 2021 and prohibits abortions when an embryo or fetus has detectable cardiac activity, which is typically around 6 weeks LMP..¹⁰ Violations of S.B. 8 are not punishable as crimes. Rather, alleged violations are enforced by a civil bounty-hunting enforcement scheme that purports to allow anyone to bring a civil lawsuit against a provider for "statutory damages in an amount of not less than \$10,000 for each abortion that the defendant performed" and "injunctive relief



sufficient to prevent the defendant from violating" S.B. 8 in the future.¹¹ To date, despite pervasive fear in the medical community, there have not been any successful cases for violations of S.B. 8. In fact, only three cases have even been filed—all against a single physician's public admission he had performed an abortion in violation of S.B. 8 in September 2021—and those cases have not led to liability for the provider..¹² Two of the lawsuits were dropped or not prosecuted, and the third was dismissed by a trial court.¹³

Pre-Roe **Ban:** Statements by some Texas politicians¹⁴ have created confusion regarding the law that was struck down by Roe v. Wade and whether it has now sprung back into effect. Enacted in 1925, the pre-Roe ban stated: "If any person shall designedly administer to a pregnant woman or knowingly procure to be administered with her consent any drug or medicine, or shall use towards her any violence or means whatever externally or internally applied, and thereby procure an abortion, he shall be confined in the penitentiary not less than two nor more than five years."15 After it was struck down in 1973, the pre-Roe ban was removed from the Texas code, replaced by a complex set of laws allowing abortion, and a federal appeals court held that it had been impliedly repealed. On June 24, 2022, however, the text of the pre-Roe ban was placed on the Texas Legislature's website for the first time, though with a note that the relevant statutes were "held to have been impliedly repealed."16 Litigation is ongoing, but in February 2023, a federal court agreed that the pre-Roe ban was "impliedly repealed" and it is therefore not in effect.¹⁷

EMTALA

A federal law called the Emergency Medical Treatment & Labor Act (EMTALA) requires emergency abortion care in some cases. EMTALA requires the emergency departments of all Medicare-participating hospitals (which is most hospitals), to

provide medical screening¹⁸ and stabilizing medical treatment to patients experiencing a medical emergency condition,19 including patients in labor or with emergency pregnancy complications.²⁰ Under the EMTALA statute, "to stabilize" means to provide medical treatment necessary to ensure, "within reasonable medical probability, that no material deterioration of the condition is likely."21 Patients can be transferred to a different hospital once they are stable or if certain conditions are met such as the medical benefits of transfer outweigh the increased risks to the patient. Where a hospital transfers a patient without first stabilizing them, the hospital still must provide "the medical treatment within its capacity which minimizes the risks to the individual's health "22 EMTALA defines medical emergency to include "acute symptoms of sufficient severity (including severe pain) such that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in—(i) placing the health of the individual (or, with respect to a pregnant woman, the health of the woman or her unborn child) in serious jeopardy, (ii) serious impairment to bodily functions, or (iii) serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part."23 For pregnant patients, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") guidance says that EMTALA includes, but is not limited to: "ectopic pregnancy, complications of pregnancy loss, or emergent hypertensive disorders, such as preeclampsia with severe features."24

HHS issued guidance after Roe v. Wade was overturned emphasizing that stabilizing treatment required by EMTALA could include abortion care if the examining physician or other qualified medical personnel determines that such treatment is required to stabilize a patient experiencing an emergency medical condition, including a condition that is "likely or certain to become emergent without stabilizing treatment."²⁵ The guidance reiterates that if EMTALA requires the provision of abortion care, then EMTALA trumps any state law prohibiting or restricting access to abortion. A federal appeals court



has affirmed a lower court decision that temporarily blocked federal enforcement of this guidance in Texas, but the practical effect of that ruling is unclear, as the medical emergency exception to Texas's abortion bans purports to cover the same kind of patients as those contemplated by the EMTALA guidance.²⁶ Moreover, a district court in Idaho has held that EMTALA trumps the narrower state law that only permits abortion if the patient's life is in danger, but the U.S. Supreme Court has blocked that decision while it considers the case, and a decision is expected in June 2024.²⁷ Furthermore, HHS recently cited hospitals in Kansas and Missouri for violating EMTALA by failing to provide abortion care to a patient with preterm premature rupture of membranes ("PPROM").28

"Medical Emergency" Exception to Abortion Bans

There is an exception to both the trigger ban and S.B. 8 for "medical emergencies," that does *not* require that an emergency be imminent or that the threat to the patient's health be irreversible. Texas does not have exceptions for rape or incest.

Language of Exception: Texas's "medical emergency" exception applies where "a licensed physician" "in the exercise of reasonable medical judgment" determines that "the pregnant female on whom the abortion is performed, induced, or attempted has a life-threatening physical condition aggravated by, caused by, or arising from a pregnancy that places the female at risk of death or poses a serious risk of substantial impairment of a major bodily function unless the abortion is performed or induced."29 "Major bodily function" is not defined in the abortion bans but is defined elsewhere in Texas law and in federal law to include "reproductive functions."30 The only health condition that is explicitly excluded from the exception is a risk to health that arises from selfharm (e.g. suicide).31 To the extent the pre-Roe ban is

still in effect, it has an exception for abortions "by medical advice for the purpose of saving the life of the mother."³²

As of September 1, 2023, Texas has passed a new law that creates a limited justification³³ to criminal liability, and a limited affirmative defense³⁴ to professional and civil liability under the trigger ban for two specific pregnancy complications: 1) "ectopic pregnancy at any location" which would likely include c-scar ectopics and 2) "previable premature rupture of membranes." This means that for patients with these complications, a physician who was arrested or was being investigated by the medical board for violating the abortion bans could defend themselves by arguing the patient had one of these complications. The law does not create new exceptions to the bans, nor does it appear to address liability under S.B. 8.³⁵

Interpretation of Exception: There is no binding guidance regarding the scope of the medical emergency exception, which is the subject of ongoing litigation.³⁶

- One interpretation is that the exception applies, and thus abortion is permitted, where the treating physician determines that a patient has a physical condition that poses either a: (1) "risk of death"; or (2) "serious risk of substantial impairment of a major bodily function." The language of the exception does not require the latter risk to be severe, immediate, or irreversible, so physicians should be able to legally provide abortions to patients with emergent health conditions that create risks of infection, hemorrhage, seizure, etc. that could lead to loss of fertility, damage to other organs, or death, even if the patient does not yet have signs of infection or other emergency health risks.
- Another interpretation of the exception comes from a federal judge that blocked HHS's EMTALA guidance in Texas. That ruling, which



was affirmed on appeal by the Fifth Circuit,37 stated that Texas's exception only applies where a "life-threatening" condition is present, rather than likely to be emergent, and thus the exception covers fewer conditions than EMTALA.

In determining how to interpret the exception, the following facts should be considered:

The legislative sponsor of S.B. 8 wrote a letter to the Texas Medical Board stating that conditions involving risk of infection and/or bleeding are included under the exception—specifically citing PPROM, ectopic pregnancy, preeclampsia, hemorrhaging, strain on the patient's heart, and peripartum cardiomyopathy as non-exhaustive examples.³⁸

A lawsuit filed on behalf of, among others, patients diagnosed with PPROM and denied abortions or delayed until showing signs of infection, argues for the more expansive interpretation above. A district court granted an injunction in August 2023 adopting this interpretation, allowing physicians to provide abortions for patients with: (1) complications of pregnancy that pose a risk of infection or otherwise make continuing a pregnancy unsafe; (2) conditions exacerbated by pregnancy, that cannot be effectively treated during pregnancy, or require recurrent invasive intervention; or (3) fetal conditions where the fetus is unlikely to survive the pregnancy and sustain life after birth. The order was appealed to the Texas Supreme Court which is currently considering the case, and the injunction is not in place while litigation continues.³⁹

A separate lawsuit was filed in December 2023 on behalf of an individual woman named Kate Cox diagnosed with a fatal fetal condition (full Trisomy 18), had two prior Cesarean surgeries, and had various underlying medical conditions that led to multiple emergency room visits. At 20 weeks LMP, Ms. Cox requested court approval for an abortion and the State took the position that she did not

qualify for the exception. After receiving that order from a lower court, Texas Supreme Court denied her request.⁴⁰ In its opinion, the Court stated that physicians must determine in their reasonable medical judgment that the exception applies (an objective standard), that subjective belief is not sufficient, and that not all physicians may reach the same conclusion about a particular patient. The Court also made the following statements:

"[T]he statute does not require 'imminence'" or "that a patient be 'about to die before a doctor can rely on the exception."

"The exception does not hold a doctor to medical certainty, nor does it cover only adverse results that will happen immediately absent an abortion, nor does it ask the doctor to wait until the mother is within an inch of death or her bodily impairment is fully manifest or practically irreversible."

"The exception does not mandate that a doctor in a true emergency await consultation with other doctors who may not be available."

Legal Requirements in Emergencies: If a physician has determined that the medical emergency exception applies, the physician does not need to comply with Texas's other abortion restrictions that also do not apply in medical emergencies. Specifically: the physician does not need to comply with Texas's informed consent counseling and 24-hour waiting period;⁴¹ for young people under 18, a physician does not need to notify their parent if "there is insufficient time" to provide notice;⁴² and the physician does not need to comply with the ban on D&E abortions, meaning the physician can perform a D&E without first confirming fetal demise.⁴³



Other Federal Laws & Professional Guidelines

In addition to EMTALA, hospitals and/or medical providers are required to abide by the following:

Conditions of Participation in Medicare and Medicaid (COP): The federal COP regulations require hospitals that participate in Medicare and Medicaid to inform patients of their rights in advance of furnishing or discontinuing care which include: the right to be informed of their health status, be involved in care planning and treatment, and participate in the development of their plan of care.⁴⁴

Protection Against Discrimination in Employment: The federal law known as the Church Amendments prohibits hospitals that receive certain federal funds from discriminating against health care providers who participate or are willing to participate in abortion care or sterilization procedures.⁴⁵

Medical Malpractice: While this document does not detail state-specific medical malpractice law, medical providers should be aware that they risk liability under state medical malpractice law for failing to provide pregnant patients with the standard of care.⁴⁶

Resident Training: The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) requires that accredited programs provide access to training in the provision of abortion.⁴⁷ The federal law known as the Coats-Snowe Amendment both protects medical professionals in learning to provide abortion, and limits the government's ability to penalize programs or institutions that fail to comply with ACGME requirements.⁴⁸

Documentation & Reporting

Generally, state law does not require documentation of irrelevant or non-medical information in patient charts. Nor does it explicitly require reporting to law enforcement patients who receive abortions out of state or self-manage their own abortion.⁴⁹ The only abortion-specific documentation and reporting requirements are:

Documentation: Texas law requires that when a physician performs an abortion under the "medical emergency" exception, the physician must "execute a written document" and comply with the following steps: (1) "certify[y] the abortion is necessary due to a medical emergency;" (2) "specif[y] the medical condition the abortion is asserted to address;" (3) "provide the medical rationale for the physician's conclusion that the abortion is necessary to address medical condition:" "place (4) document...in the pregnant woman's medical record;" (5) and "maintain a copy of the document... in the physician's practice records."50 Quoting the language of the statute when documenting a patient case—e.g. "the patient's condition places them at risk of death or poses a serious risk of substantial impairment of a major bodily function"—may be helpful.

Some hospitals may impose additional documentation requirements for abortions performed as medical emergencies, including attestations by multiple physicians and/or approvals by an ethical review board. While intended to insulate the hospital from liability, these are not legal requirements.

Abortion Reporting: Texas law also requires that the physician report abortions performed as medical emergencies on a monthly basis to the state through the Induced Termination of Pregnancy (ITOP) reporting system.⁵¹

Complication Reporting: Complications from abortion must also be reported to the state, and Senate Bill 4, which took effect in December of 2021, expanded the list of reportable complications and reporters. Physicians have expressed concern



with the breadth of conditions that must be reported, but the state has not provided any guidance or clarification.52 Now, both physicians (within 3 business days after the complication is diagnosed or treated) and hospitals (within 30 calendar days after the complication is diagnosed or treated) must report to the state any of the following complications or adverse events from the abortion, to the extent they are known at the time: shock; uterine cervical laceration; hemorrhage; perforation; aspiration or allergic response; infection; sepsis; death of the patient; incomplete abortion; damage to the uterus; an infant born alive after the abortion; blood clots resulting in pulmonary embolism or deep vein thrombosis; failure to actually terminate the pregnancy; pelvic inflammatory disease; endometritis; missed ectopic pregnancy; cardiac arrest; respiratory arrest; renal failure; metabolic disorder; embolism; coma; placenta previa in subsequent pregnancies; preterm delivery subsequent pregnancies; fluid accumulation in the abdomen; hemolytic reaction resulting from the administration of ABO-incompatible blood or blood products; adverse reactions to anesthesia or other drugs; or any other adverse event as defined by the United States Food and Drug Administration's criteria provided by the MedWatch Reporting System.⁵³ Note that "incomplete abortion" is now explicitly a reportable complication.

Fetal Death Reporting: Texas law requires a "fetal death certificate" for all stillbirths/fetal deaths to be filed with the local registrar within 10 days of death. ⁵⁴ A "stillbirth" or "fetal death" for which a death certificate is required by Texas law is defined as "any fetus weighing 350 grams or more, or if the weight is unknown, a fetus aged 20 weeks or more as calculated from the start date of the last normal menstrual period to the date of delivery." ⁵⁵

Other Mandatory Reporting: All other general mandatory reporting to the Department of Family and Protective Services, local law enforcement, etc.,

also applies for abortion patients.⁵⁶ This includes reporting of sexual abuse of young people, child abuse, and vulnerable adult abuse.⁵⁷

Electronic Medical Records: Many electronic medical record systems (EMRs) allow healthcare providers to securely share patient records across healthcare institutions.⁵⁸ While EMRs have settings that allow patients to choose how and when their records are shared, hospital systems often instead use their EMR's default settings that widely share patient records. Though often done for continuity of care purposes, these settings may put abortion providers and patients (or patients obtaining other sensitive care) at risk, and many patients do not know their records are shared in this way.⁵⁹ We encourage you to discuss alternative settings with your institution's compliance officers, counsel, and/or technology officers, who may be able to offer customized solutions.60

Counseling & Referral

Speech about abortion is legal in Texas. Medical professionals in Texas can thus (1) provide accurate options counseling, including about abortion; and (2) refer patients to medical providers in states where abortion is legal.

There is a Texas specific note of caution, however, as a provision of the pre-Roe ban prohibits "furnish[ing] the means for procuring an abortion." No one has suggested, however, that options counseling or referrals by medical professionals would qualify as "furnishing the means." A federal court recently concluded that the pre-Roe ban likely does not prohibit helping patients get out of state abortion care and, in any event, the pre-Roe ban has been impliedly repealed. Specifically, after Roe v. Wade was overturned, various abortion funds and other practical support organizations in Texas stopped providing direct funding and logistical support for patients traveling



out of state for abortion due to concern that their work was "furnishing the means." The funds filed a lawsuit, a federal judge determined that the pre-*Roe* ban did not reach such conduct, and Texas abortion funds have since resumed their services.

Medication Abortion

Texas has additional rules that apply specifically to "abortion-inducing drugs." Practically speaking, now that abortion is largely prohibited in Texas, these rules only apply to abortions performed in "medical emergencies." Texas law defines "abortion-inducing drug" to include "the Mifeprex regimen, misoprostol (Cytotec), and methotrexate" when used to perform an abortion, using the definition of abortion described above. 63 That means that when these drugs are used for medical care other than the legal definition of abortion, the rules do not apply. In other words, when these drugs are used to treat patients with ectopic pregnancies, or for miscarriage care where no cardiac activity is present, or for cervical dilation, the rules for abortioninducing drugs do not apply.

The following rules apply to the use of abortioninducing drugs for patients needing abortions in medical emergencies where cardiac activity is present. A physician must provide the drug(s) to the patient and also do the following: examine the patient in person; determine and document if the pregnancy is intrauterine or ectopic; determine and document the patient's blood type and offer Rhimmunoglobin if the patient is Rh negative; provide a copy of the Mifeprex label; schedule a follow-up visit not later than 14 days after the drug is administered where the physician must confirm pregnancy termination and assess any continued blood loss; and make reasonable efforts to ensure the patient returns for the follow-up visit. Further, the physician may not provide abortion-inducing drugs if the gestational age of the patient's pregnancy is more than 49 days.⁶⁴ Following the enactment of Senate Bill 4 in 2021, these requirements are subject to both civil and criminal penalties.⁶⁵

Disposition of Fetal Tissue Remains

Texas's requirements regarding disposition of embryonic and fetal tissue remains is the only law that applies to both miscarriage procedures where there is no cardiac activity and abortion procedures. As of July 2022 (when a court order blocking the law was lifted), all embryonic and fetal tissue remains removed from a patient's body by a medical professional must be disposed either interment/burial or scattering of ashes (following cremation or incineration).66 This requirement does not apply to vitro fertilization, medication abortion, or any process where the patient passes the pregnancy tissue outside of a medical facility, nor does it put any requirements on patients. Medical facilities are responsible for enforcing the law and violations are subject to civil penalties.67



Need legal advice?

This document should not be construed as legal advice. If you need individualized legal advice, please contact the <u>Abortion Defense Network</u>, where you will be matched with a pro bono attorney.

The Abortion Defense Network is managed by the Lawyering Project in partnership with the American Civil Liberties Union, Center for Reproductive Rights (CRR), If/When/How: Lawyering for Reproductive Justice, National Women's Law Center (NWLC), and Resources for Abortion Delivery (RAD).



CENTER for REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS









References

- ¹ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 245.002(1).
- ² Tex. Health & Safety Code § 245.002(4-a).
- ³ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 245.002(1).
- ⁴ See Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 171.201, 171.202, 171.203 (citing importance of a "fetal heartbeat" or "cardiac activity" to "unborn life").
- ⁵ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 245.002(1).
- ⁶ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 170A.001(a), 170A.002(a).
- ⁷ Tex. Penal Code §§ 12.32, 12.33.
- 8 Tex. Health & Safety Code § 170A.007.
- ⁹ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 170A.005.
- ¹⁰ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 171.201 171.203, 171.204; Texas Health & Human Services, GL:21-2001-A: Health Facility Compliance Guidance Letter (Nov. 30, 2022).
- ¹¹ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 171.207, 171.208, 171.210, 171.211. "Damages" refers to financial penalties, while "injunction" refers to a court order prohibiting certain conduct.
- ¹² Alan Braid, Opinion: Why I Violated Texas's Extreme Abortion Ban, Washington Post (Sept. 18 2021).
- 13 Order, Gomez v. Braid, Civil Cause No. 2022CI08302 (Bexar Ctv. Dist. Ct. Dec. 12, 2022).
- ¹⁴ Press Release, Briscoe Cain, State Representative Briscoe Cain Sends Cease-And-Desist Letters to Abortion Funds in Texas (Mar. 18, 2022).
- 15 1925 Tex. Crim. Stat. 1191.
- ¹⁶ <u>VERNON'S TEX. CIV. STATS. ch. 6-1/2 (page 181)</u>
- ¹⁷ Fund Tex. Choice v. Paxton, No. 1:22-CV-859-RP (W.D. Tex. Feb. 24, 2023), ECF No. 120 ("[T]he Court finds that the pre-Roe laws have been repealed by implication"); but see Texas v. Becerra, No. 5:22-CV-185-H, 2022 WL 3639525, at *2 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 23, 2022) (treating the pre-Roe ban as enforceable but noting that the trigger ban "reflects a more recent, more specific regulation of abortion and, normally, a more recent enactment governing the same subject supersedes prior enactments").
- ¹⁸ EMTALA, 42 USC § 1395dd(c)(1)(A).
- ¹⁹ EMTALA, 42 USC § 1395dd(b)(1)(A).
- ²⁰ EMTALA, 42 USC § 1395dd(e)(1).
- ²¹ EMTALA, 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd(e)(3)(A).
- ²² EMTALA, 42 USC § 1395dd(c)(1)(B)-(c)(2)(A).

TEXAS



- ²³ EMTALA, 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd(e)(1).
- ²⁴ CMS, Reinforcement of EMTALA Obligations Specific to Patients who are Pregnant or are Experiencing Pregnancy Loss (updated July 2022).
- ²⁵ CMS, Reinforcement of EMTALA Obligations Specific to Patients who are Pregnant or are Experiencing Pregnancy Loss (updated July 2022).
- ²⁶ Texas v. Becerra, No. 23-10246, 2024 WL 20069 (5th Cir. Jan. 2, 2024).
- ²⁷ State of Idaho v. United States, No. 23-726 (U.S. Jan. 5, 2024).
- ²⁸ CMS, Freeman Health System—Freeman West, Statement of Deficiencies and Plan of Correction (April 10, 2023); CMS, University of Kansas Hospital, Statement of Deficiencies and Plan of Correction (April 10, 2023); HHS Secretary Xavier Becerra Statement on EMTALA Enforcement (May 1, 2023).
- ²⁹ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 170A.002(b); 171.002(3); 171.205. The exception also requires that "the person performs, induces, or attempts the abortion in a manner that, in the exercise of reasonable medical judgment, provides the best opportunity for the unborn child to survive unless, in the reasonable medical judgment, that manner would create: (A) a greater risk of the pregnant female's death; or (B) a serious risk of substantial impairment of a major bodily function of the pregnant female."
- ³⁰ Tex. Labor Code § 21.002(11-a); Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2)(B); 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(i)(1)(ii).
- ³¹ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 170A.002(c): "A physician may not" provide an abortion "if, at the time the abortion was performed, induced, or attempted, the person knew the risk of death or a substantial impairment of a major bodily function described by [the medical exception] arose from a claim or diagnosis that the female would engage in conduct that might result in the female's death or in substantial impairment of a major bodily function."
- 32 1925 Tex. Crim. Stat. 1196.
- ³³ A separate new provision of Texas law creates a justification or "ordinary defense" to criminal prosecution for PPROM and ectopic pregnancies: "A physician or health care provider is justified in exercising reasonable medical judgment in providing medical treatment to a pregnant woman as described by Section 74.552, Civil Practice and Remedies Code." Tex. Penal Code § 9.35.
- ³⁴ An "affirmative defense" is a defense that a defendant to a lawsuit can introduce into evidence and, if proven, defeats liability or conviction. So while it can help a defendant be acquitted, it does not stop an individual from being sued or arrested in the first place.
- ³⁵ <u>H.B. 3058</u>, to be codified at Tex. Civ. Prac. & Remedies Code §§ 74.551, 74.552, Tex. Occ. Code § 164.055(c), & Tex. Penal Code § 9.35.
- ³⁶ Zurawski v. Texas, No. D-1-GN-23-000968 (Dist. Ct. Travis Cty. Tex., filed Mar. 6, 2023).
- ³⁷ Texas v. Becerra, No. 23-10246, 2024 WL 20069 (5th Cir. Jan. 2, 2024).
- ³⁸ Letter from Bryan Hughes to Executive Director Brint Carlton (August 4, 2022).
- ³⁹ Zurawski v. Texas, No. D-1-GN-23-000968 (Dist. Ct. Travis Cty. Tex., filed Mar. 6, 2023); Temporary Injunction Order (Dist. Ct. Travis Cty. Tex. Aug. 4, 2023), appeal docketed, No. 23-0629 (Tex. Aug. 4, 2023).
- ⁴⁰ In re State of Texas, No. 23-0994 (Tex. Dec. 11, 2023) (per curiam).
- ⁴¹ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 171.0124.
- ⁴² Tex. Family Code §§ 33.002, 33.0022; Tex. Occ. Code § 164.052.
- ⁴³ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 171.152(a).
- 44 42 C.F.R. §§ 482.13(a)(1), (b)(1), (b)(2).
- ⁴⁵ Know Your Rights: Existing Laws May Protect Health Care Professional Who Provide or Support Abortion from Discrimination in Employment, NAT'L WOMEN'S LAW CTR. (Feb. 9, 2023), https://nwlc.org/resource/know-your-rights-existing-laws-may-protect-health-care-professionals-who-provide-or-support-abortion-from-discrimination-in-employment/.
- ⁴⁶ Tex. Civ. Practices & Remedies Code § 74.001 et seq.
- ⁴⁷ Accreditation Council for Graduate Med. Educ., *ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR GRADUATE MED. EDUC. (Sept. 17, 2022), https://www.acgme.org/globalassets/pfassets/programrequirements/220 obstetricsandgynecology 9-17-2022 tcc.pdf. ⁴⁸ 42 U.S.C. § 238n.
- ⁴⁹ There is no reason to report a self-managed abortion to the police. Fact sheets from If/When/How with additional detail, including some state-specific fact sheets, are available here. If/When/How adds state-specific fact sheets to their website as they are finalized.
- ⁵⁰ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 171.008, 171.205.

TEXAS



- ⁵¹ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 245.011(c)(10), (11); 25 Tex. Admin. Code § 139.4; 25 Tex. Admin. Code § 139.5; ITOP reporting form available at https://txhhs.force.com/c/itop_reporting.app?view=form&formType=iarf
- ⁵² Jessica Valenti, Texas is Fabricating Abortion Data, Abortion Every Day (May 4, 2023).
- ⁵³ Tex. Health & Safety Code 171.006; 25 Tex. Admin. Code § 139.2; 25 Tex. Admin. Code § 139.5; Texas Health & Human Services, GL 21-2006-A: Health Facility Compliance Guidance (revised Nov, 30, 2022); U.S. Food & Drug Admin., Form FDA 3500; Complication reporting form available at https://txhhs.force.com/c/itop_reporting.app?view=form&formType=acr.
- ⁵⁴ Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 193.002, .003.
- ⁵⁵ Tex. Health & Safety Code § 674.001(2); 25 Tex. Admin. Code § 181.7(a).
- ⁵⁶ Fact sheets from If/When/How with additional detail, including some state-specific fact sheets, are available <u>here</u>. If/When/How adds state-specific fact sheets to their website as they are finalized.
- ⁵⁷ Tex. Family Code §§ 33.008, 33.0085, 33.009.
- ⁵⁸ For example, one EMR, Epic, uses a tool called Care Everywhere to securely share information between health care institutions (e.g., from one hospital system to another) and also allows for robust sharing internal to a single institution (e.g., a Texas hospital treating a patient may be able to see the patient's records from an Illinois hospital that is part of the same hospital system).
- ⁵⁹ For example, if a patient travels from a ban state to an access state for abortion care, or obtains an abortion in the ban state under an exception, then later obtains any type of healthcare at a different provider that uses the same EMR, the patient's records may be automatically shared with the second provider. If the second provider believes that the care violated the state's abortion ban, they may report it to authorities.
- ⁶⁰ In the past year, states and the federal government have taken steps to address these vulnerabilities, specifically for abortion and gender-affirming care. Maryland and California have passed bills that restrict disclosure of abortion-related records and require EMRs to develop tools to limit or prohibit such disclosure. As these laws are implemented, the landscape for how electronic abortion records are handled may change.
- 61 1925 Tex. Crim. Stat. 1192.
- 62 Fund Tex. Choice v. Paxton, No. 1:22-CV-859-RP (W.D. Tex. Feb. 24, 2023), ECF No. 120.
- 63 Tex. Health & Safety Code § 171.061(2).
- 64 Tex. Health & Safety Code § 171.063.
- 65 Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 171.064, 171.065.
- 66 Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 697.002, 697.003, 697.004.
- 67 Tex. Health & Safety Code 697.007, 697.008; 25 Tex. Admin. Code 6 138.2; 138.3; 138.4; 138.5.